#### **Industry Releasable Threat Assessment**



Issued on 25 MAR 25 ICOD 20 MAR 25



This Threat Assessment has been released to the maritime community in confidence. It should not be disseminated further without permission.



# **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents 2
Introduction
Yemen Threat Environment3
Piracy Related Threat4
Terrorism5
Maritime Security Events (Suspicious approach)5
Maritime Security Events (Others)5
Non-Maritime Security Events6
CMF Activity7
EU NAVFOR Activity
Conclusion
Feedback
ANNEX A
Threat Assessment and Probability Yardstick10
ANNEX B
Glossary of Terms, Abbreviations and Acronyms11
ANNEX C
LEXICOM

# **Introduction**

1. The Industry Releasable Threat Assessment (IRTA) has been prepared by the European Union Naval Force Operation ATALANTA (EU NAVFOR ATALANTA) and the Combined Maritime Forces (CMF).

2. The purpose of the IRTA is to articulate the maritime security threat to merchant and large fishing vessels transiting the Red Sea (RS), Bab al Mandeb Strait (BAM), Gulf of Aden (GOA) and the Western Indian Ocean (WIO). It replaces the previous IRTA released on 01 December 24, which had an intelligence cut-off date (ICOD) of 15 November 24. Please note that this IRTA is complementary to any post-ICOD information provided after its publication by the CMF Joint Maritime Information Centre (JMIC) uploaded on the UKMTO website (<u>https://www.ukmto.org/indian-ocean/jmicproducts</u>) and the Piracy Threat Update uploaded on the MSCIO website (<u>https://www.mscio.eu/mscio-products/eunavfor-atalanta/</u>).

#### Yemen Threat Environment

3. The maritime security situation in Red Sea and Gulf of Aden is largely influenced the ongoing situation in Houthi controlled Yemen.

a. <u>**Recent Events</u>**. The security situation in Yemen remains tense following U.S. strikes against Houthi-controlled areas, which began on March 15, 2025. These strikes have prompted Houthi forces to resume maritime operations in the Red Sea, Gulf of Aden, and Arabian Sea. As of the Initial Cut-Off Date (ICOD) of this report, no attacks on merchant vessels (MVs) have been recorded in the Red Sea, possibly due to a Houthi focus on targeting warships (WS). However, this tactical shift does not eliminate the potential for future attacks on MVs. The absence of incidents may reflect a strategic pause rather than a diminished capacity or intent, given the Houthis' demonstrated resilience in the region.</u>

b. **Capability**. Houthi forces continue to exhibit the ability to launch Anti-Ship Cruise Missiles (ASCMs), Ballistic Missiles, and unmanned one-way attack drones targeting vessels near the Bab al-Mandeb (BAM) Strait. Although their precision is limited, their arsenal, including long-range weaponry and enhanced targeting logistics, remains a credible threat. U.S. strikes have targeted this capability, but it is currently unclear whether it has been degraded to a level that no longer poses a significant risk to industry. The Houthis' operational adaptability and ongoing development of maritime strike capabilities suggest they retain the potential to disrupt shipping, even under pressure from military actions. c. **Industry Impact**. The escalation of hostilities with Houthi forces has increased volatility in the region's maritime security environment. The Houthi target list has likely expanded to include U.S.-linked commercial vessels, in addition to Israeli-owned or linked vessels transiting the BAM, as a retaliatory response to the recent U.S. strikes. This shift aligns with their historical adaptability to changing regional dynamics. The Combined Maritime Forces (CMF) have not identified new indications of intent to target merchant shipping from regional countries, suggesting a focused rather than broad escalation. However, the brake of the ceasefire and renewed tensions elevate risks for industry, particularly for vessels perceived as affiliated with U.S. or Israeli interests. The threat level is assessed as follows:

i. **SUBSTANTIAL**; it is **LIKELY / PROBABLE** that Israeli & US owned/ affiliated vessels transiting the SRS, BAM and GoA will be targeted by the Houthi's.

ii. **MODERATE**; due to the possibility of collateral damage it is a **REALISTIC POSSIBLITY** for vessels transiting through the SRS, BAM and GoA or entering any Yemeni TTW to be attacked.

iii. **LOW;** an attack is **HIGHLY UNLIKELY** for vessels transiting through the North Red Sea (NRS) and central Red Sea (CRS).

# Piracy Related Threat

4. The threat of piracy and armed robbery continues to simmer mainly in Somalian waters. Related contours are as follows:

a. <u>**Recent Events.</u>** On 27 November 24, a Chinese flagged fishing vessel was hijacked by unknown personnel in somali TTW's. The Chinese embassy in Somalia negotiated for the release of the fishing vessel. Consequently, on 13 January 25, the fishing vessel was released. There were no confirmed reports about the payment of any ransom. Since the event, there have been three similar incidents that have occurred between Feb and Mar 25. In all three instances, robbers were able to hijack Yemeni owned FVs close to the somali coast, hold for few days and then release the boat without further information regarding ransom. These event indicate the presence of active pirate groups along the Somali coast exploiting the vulnerability of foreign fishing vessels operating in Somali waters, exacerbating the piracy threat in the area.</u>

b. **<u>Capability</u>**. The capability of Somali pirates is characterized by over a decade of experience navigating the local maritime terrain and leveraging the

region's complex coastal geography. While piracy along the Somali coast was largely suppressed by 2012 due to robust onshore and offshore countermeasures, the threat persists, sustained by the pirates' adaptability and operational knowledge. Rather than maintaining strong, direct operational ties to terrorist groups, Somali pirates have historically engaged in pragmatic, transactional relationships with entities like Al-Shabaab. These interactions have typically involved paying harbor fees or exchanging resources, such as weapons or logistical support, rather than forming a unified command structure. It is assessed that hijacked fishing vessels can still be utilized as motherships to launch piracy attacks further offshore, extending their reach beyond Somalia's immediate coastal waters. This enduring capability highlights their resilience despite past counter-piracy efforts.

c. <u>Industry Impact</u>. Thr piracy threat level is assessed as **LOW** in the Gulf of Aden, Somali Basin and Western Indian Ocean. Various unconfirmed reports mention that there are pirate groups on the coast of Somalia with the capacity to set sail to attack vulnerable merchant vessels directly from the shore. Therefore, the armed robbery threat in Somali TTW's is assessed as **MODERATE**.

# <u>Terrorism</u>

5. No terrorism incidents were reported in the maritime domain during the reporting period. Houthi forces were recently redesignated as a terrorist organisation by the US. Houthi actions & corresponding impact were covered in paragraph 3 of this report. The threat from terrorism (excluding the Houthi threat) in the maritime domain is assessed as **BENIGN**. A terrorist attack in the region is **UNLIKELY**.

# Maritime Security Events (Suspicious Activity)

6. There was no suspicious approach reported during the reporting period.

# Maritime Security Events (Other Crimes on the Maritime Environment)

7. On 21 November 2024, the master of a Yacht reported suspicious activity when approached by several small crafts in Gulf of Aden. The vessel increased speed and conducted evasive maneuvers. All crew and the vessel were safe and proceeded to the next port of call.

8. On 24 January 2025, the master of a vessel sailing in the Persian/Arabian Gulf reported that the vessel was approached by a small military craft, which began flashing a green laser light towards the bridge. The small military craft kept hailing the vessel to turn to port towards Iranian territorial waters.

# Non-Maritime Security Events – Other Crimes on the Maritime Environment / Cyber Attacks

9. In the second week of March, UKMTO twice received corroborating reports from vessels experiencing GPS interference in the Strait of Hormuz, with disruptions lasting several hours, affecting navigation systems and requiring vessels to rely on backup methods. Non-Maritime Security Event had no occurred. JMIC and UKMTO are closely monitoring the situation and request the masters who experience disruption to electronic navigation systems (GPS / AIS / Other PNT) anywhere within the Voluntary Reporting Area (VRA) to contact UKMTO watchkeepers via watchkeepers@ukmto.org or 02392 222065.

# **Operations/ MSCIO Analysis**

10. MSCIO is further enhancing its comprehension of the maritime domain in the Southern Red Sea, GoA, the Somali Basin and now also the Strait of Hormuz, since AGENOR (military track of EMASoH) turned in dormant mode since 2024. During the reviewing period, MSCIO along with UKMTO have been working within the SIF (Single Information Framework (SIF) established during SHADE, in the frame of the Infomation Sharing Working Groups (ISWG). Common templates facilitates reporting and information exchange between MSCIO and UKMTO.

# **RECOMMENDATIONS**

11. CMF and EU NAVFOR ATALANTA encourages MVs to:

a. Ensure registration with MSCIO and UKMTO prior to entering the VRA (as indicated in Maritime Security Chart Q6099).

b. Implement protection measures as detailed in the Best Management Practices (BMP) document including a Private Armed Security Team (PAST).

c. Opt for trade routes outside Houthi controlled and Somali TTW's (>12NM). This will enable EU NAVFOR ATALANTA and CMF respond more efficiently in the event of an incident.

d. Promptly report incidents to MSCIO, UKMTO, and the International Maritime Bureau (IMB), to facilitate a quick and effictive response by naval assets patrolling the region.

e. Send suspicious/irregular activity reports and related imagery to the UKMTO website. In addition, this report is also to be shared with MSCIO to aid military appreciation of the situation.

f. It is requested that the crews of attacked merchant ships share with UKMTO and MSCIO all the information they gather during an attack (videos, photos, names, locations, etc.), in order to analyse each event and to be able to establish courses of action in response to such attacks.

#### **CMF** Activity

12. Since the last IRTA CMF has now completed a handover of the Focused Operation PROSPERITY GUARDIAN (OPG) on the 1 February 2025 to the US Task Force 55. While conducting OPG, CMF provided support and reassurance in the Red Sea and GOA though continued presence operations and reinforced an international rules based order.

13. CTF Commands are national led from CMF member nations. The following updates are provided with respect to current CTF commands:

a. CTF 150 under New Zealand command e.f 14 Jan 25. Prior this it was led by Pakistan.

b. CTF 151 under Pakistan commande.f 22 Jan 25. Prior this it was led by Turkiye.

c. CTF 152 has, during the period under review, under command of Kuwait.

d. CTF 153 has, during the period under review, been commanded by an Australia-led team.

e. CTF 154 under command of Sri Lanka w.e.f 26 Jan 25. Prior this it was led by an Egyptian team.

#### EU NAVFOR Activity

14. EU NAVFOR ATALANTA continued its counter-piracy operations in the GoA and in the Somali Basin, whilst monitoring and protecting World Food Program (WFP) ships and vulnerable vessels that requested it. In addition, it has established a Maritime Security presence in the area to provide assistance to the region. EU NAVFOR ATALANTA continues to coordinate with relevant Somali authorities in the fight against counter-piracy, thereby, bolstering deterrence against potential pirates.

15. EU NAVFOR ATALANTA continues to improve coordination and information exchange with relevant partners in the Indian Ocean, in order to obtain a comprehensive picture in the AOO and operate more efficiently with available resources against all kinds of illicit activities. EU NAVFOR ATALANTA also continues to work in close coordination with the shipping industry to ensure its safety.

16. EU NAVFOR ATALANTA is consistently adjusting its procedures to play a role in suppresing piracy and increase maritime security in a safe and effective manner.

17. EU NAVFOR ATALANTA maintains its maritime security presence by conducting Focus Operations aimed at addressing illicit activities such as drug trafficking, charcoal smuggling and weapons trafficking. EUNAVFOR ATALANTA is also continuing to develop its procedures to counter other illegal activities.

18. Focus Operations aim to better overview and monitor fishing activities, focusing on those that could be Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated (IUU) in the AOO.

# **Conclusion**

19. The security situation in Yemen remains volatile, with the ongoing conflict and instability having a profound impact on maritime security in the region, especially in the Red Sea. This, beside other factors, has created a permissive environment for pirates and armed robbery groups to operate in Somali waters, posing a credible threat with low likelihood to merchant vessels and crew.

20. Despite the efforts of international naval forces and regional authorities, maritime security risks persist. However, this report also demonstrates the value of collaborative efforts between CMF, EUNAVFOR, and industry stakeholders in assessing and mitigating these threats. By sharing intelligence, best practices, and expertise, we can reduce the risk of maritime threats and promote a safer, more secure operating environment for all.

21. As we move forward, it is essential that we continue to work together to address the complex and dynamic nature of maritime threats in the region. This includes enhancing information-sharing mechanisms, developing more effective countermeasures, and promoting a culture of security awareness and cooperation throughout the maritime community."

# <u>Feedback</u>

22. Enquiries about the content of this threat assessment should be directed to CMF and EU NAVFOR ATALANTA.

CMF: Tel: 00973 1785 9629 // 00973 1785 8933 Website: www.combinedmaritimeforces.com Email: cmf\_info@me.navy.mil

EU NAVFOR ATALANTA: Tel: 0033 (0) 298 220 220 (24/7) // 0033 (0) 298 220 170.

UNCLASSIFIED 8 of 15

Website: www.mscio.eu Email: postmaster@mscio.eu

# Annexes to this IRTA:

- A. Threat Assessment and Probability Yardstick.
- B. Glossary of Terms, Abbreviations and Acronyms.
- C. Lexicon.

# ANNEX A

#### **Threat Assessment and Probability Yardstick**

The threat assessment and probability yardstick used in this document is as under for ready reference.

THREAT ASSESMENT	BENIGN	LOW	MODERATE	SUBSTANTIAL	SEVERE	CRITICAL
PROBABILITY YARDSTICK	Attack is HIGHLY UNLIKELY (10% - 20%)	Attack is UNLIKELY (>25% - 35%)	Attack is a <b>REALISTIC</b> <b>POSSIBILITY</b> (40% - <50%)	Attack is LIKELY / PROBABLE (55% - <75%)	Attack is HIGHLY LIKELY (80% - 90%)	Attack is ALMOST CERTAIN (>95%)

#### ANNEX B

# Glossary of Terms, Abbreviations and Acronyms

AaS	Ansar al-Sunna: Terrorist Group operating in Mozambique	
AG	Arabian Gulf	
AOO	Area of Operation	
AOR	Area of Responsibility	
AQAP	Al-Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula	
AS	Arabian Sea	
AS	Al Shabaab: Terrorist Group operating in Somalia	
ASBM	Anti-Ship Ballistic Missile	
ASCM	Anti-Ship Cruise Missile	
ATMIS	African union Transition Mission in Somalia	
BAM	Bab-al-Mandeb strait	
BMP5	Best Management Practice (Version 5): IMO and industry sponsored suggested planning and operational practices for ship operators and Masters of ships transiting the Indian Ocean	
ВМ	Ballistic Missile	
CMF	Combined Maritime Forces: 38 nation multi-national maritime force operating in Indian Ocean, Red Sea, Arabian Gulf, Gulf of Oman, and Gulf of Aden.	
СОА	Course Of Action	
CRS	Central Red Sea	
CTF	Combined Task Force	
EMASOH	European Maritime Awareness Operation in the Strait of Hormuz	
EU NAVFOR	European Union Naval Force Somalia (Operation ATALANTA)	
FO	Focused Operation	
FV	Fishing Vessel	
GOA	Gulf of Aden	
GOO	Gulf of Oman	
НОА	Horn Of Africa	
HQ	Headquarters	
L	1	

HRA	High Risk Area: Industry defined area where it is considered there is a higher risk of piracy and within which self-protective measures are most likely to be required	
ІМВ	International Maritime Bureau	
IMSC	International Maritime Security Construct	
ICOD	Intelligence Cut-off Date	
ΙΟΤ	In Order To	
IRTA	Industry Releasable Threat Assessment	
IRTB	Industry Releasable Threat Bulletin	
IRTC	Internationally Recommended Transit Corridor	
IUU	Illegal Unreported and Unregulated	
IS	Islamic State	
Kts	Knots	
KSA	Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	
MOU	Memorandum Of Understanding	
MSCHOA	Maritime Security Centre (Horn of Africa): The maritime industry control centre for the EU's Op ATALANTA.	
MSO	Maritime Security Operation	
MSTC	Maritime Security Transit Corridor	
МТ	Motor Tanker	
MV	Merchant Vessel	
NM	Nautical Mile	
NRS	North Red Sea	
OSINT	Open Source Intelligence	
PAG	Pirate Action Group	
PAST	Private Armed Security Team	
PCASP	Privately Contracted Armed Security Personnel	
РОВ	Persons on Board	
RS	Red Sea	
SBM	Single Buoy Mooring	
SLC	Saudi-Led Coalition	
SNA	the Somali National Army	

SRS	South Red Sea
SSF	Somali Security Force
STC	Southern Transitional Council
SV	Sailing Vessel
TTPs	Tactics, Techniques And Procedures
ттw	Territorial Waters
UAS	Unmanned Aerial System
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UAE	United Arab Emirates
UKMCC	United Kingdom Maritime Component Commander
ИКМТО	United Kingdom Maritime Trade Operations (Based in Dubai)
USV	Unmanned Surface Vehicle
VRA	Vessel Registration Area
WBIED	Water Borne Improvised Explosive Device
WIO	Western Indian Ocean
WFP	World Food Programme

# ANNEX C

# <u>Lexicon</u>

Lexicon				
tegory	Industry Definition	EUNAVFOR ATALANTA Additional Considerations		
Attack	Aggressive approach with weapons discharged.	<b>Conflict-related:</b> The threat of or the use of violence related to conflict or conflict spillover.		
Attempted Boarding	Close approach with visible boarding paraphernalia, thwarted by defensive measures.	No additional categorization		
Boarding	Boarding with intent to steal or harm without taking control.	No additional categorization		
Hijack	Attackers take control of a ship against the crew's will for purposes such as robbery, cargo theft, or kidnapping.	No additional categorization		
Piracy	As per UNCLOS Article 101: - Illegal acts on high seas - Against ship/persons outside state jurisdiction - Operating pirate vessel - Facilitating such acts	No additional categorization		
Armed Robbery	As per IMO Resolution A.1025(26): Acts within state waters, including violence/detention/depredation.	No additional categorization		

Suspicious Activity	Unaccountable actions including: - Unusual crew numbers - CPA considerations - Unusual equipment - Multiple skiffs - Unusual vessel type - High-speed operations - Unmanned appearance	No additional categorization
Other Crimes in the Maritime Environment	Basic categorization: 1. Sea theft (without violence) 2. Sea robbery (with violence/arms)	Expands categories to include: 1. Kidnap 2.Human Trafficking 3. Sea Theft/Robbery 4. Smuggling (Human, weapons, narcotics, cargo) 5. IUU Fishing 6. Maritime Pollution 7. Sabotage 8. Cyber Attacks 9. Underwater Cable Tampering 10. Other (disputes, harassment)